SELF INSURED WORKERS COMPENSATION FUNDING STUDY

AS OF JUNE 30, 2008

SEPTEMBER 12, 2008

September 12, 2008

Mr. David C. Wilkinson Comptroller City of Newton Commonwealth Avenue and Walnut St. Newton Centre, MA 02159

Dear David:

Enclosed you will find our report regarding the City's self funded Workers Compensation program as of June 30, 2008. Projected ultimate losses are very consistent with last year's results.

It has been a pleasure being of service again to the City. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

Polit W. Van Epps Robert W. Van Epps, FCAS, MAAA

Principal

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	2
CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS	3
DISTRIBUTION AND USE	6
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	7
ANALYSIS	9
EXHIBITS	

INTRODUCTION

Financial Risk Analysts, LLC has been retained by the City of Newton (the City) to provide actuarial services regarding its self funded Workers Compensation program. The City has been fully self-funded on a pay as you go basis since at least 1956. Claims are handled by the City's Personnel Department which administers and processes benefits payments. Excess Workers Compensation coverage is not purchased and the City therefore assumes unlimited exposure to loss.

Financial Risk Analysts has been retained to provide indicated liability levels for the City's self funded Workers Compensation losses as of June 30, 2008. In addition, we have been requested to provide an indicated contribution to the self insurance fund for the one year period beginning July 1, 2008.

CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS

GENERAL

Throughout this report and its exhibits the term "loss" is used to refer to both losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses (ALAE). ALAE provides for all expenses associated with the handling and settling of claims that can be directly attributable to a particular claim. Such expenses typically include claim handling fees, legal fees, investigatory expenses, medical reports, expert witness fees, rehabilitation costs, etc. No other costs associated with the City's self insured Workers Compensation program other than losses and ALAE have been considered in this report.

DATA

In this report we have used historical data and other information provided to us by the City of Newton. This data consist primarily of historical loss and exposure information for the City. In addition we have relied on various oral representations made to us by employees of the City. Although the data supplied have been reviewed for purposes of reasonability, we have not independently audited or verified this information and we assume it to be accurate and complete.

The results of our analysis will be contingent upon the reliability of the information supplied to us and such reliability is the responsibility of the City. Should the City become aware of any significant discrepancies in the data reported to us we should be notified of such discrepancies and this report will be amended, if necessary.

We were provided with the following data:

 Accident year losses paid during fiscal years 1988-2008 for General Government employees. Losses paid prior to 1988 were not provided to us. The term "accident year" refers to the year in which the accident leading to the injury took place. "Losses" refers to benefits paid for either indemnity (lost wages) or medical benefits.

- Data and information for long term disability and death cases. These are referred to as "pension cases".
- Covered payroll information by class code for Fiscal Years 1992-2008 and estimated payroll for fiscal year 2009.

We have made various assumptions to adjust for any missing or incomplete data. The City should recognize, however, that given the considerable breadth and scope of its self funded program, the relatively limited data available increases the uncertainty associated with this actuarial study. The City does not fully establish case reserves for reported Workers Compensation cases. We encourage the City to establish procedures whereby such reserves are set up for all claims. This will considerably increase the accuracy of future actuarial studies and should also improve the City's ability to manage the self insurance program.

In performing our analysis we also relied on data and information obtained from insurance industry sources to supplement the actual historical data provided to us by the City. Such data include loss development patterns, loss trend factors, loss payout patterns, and benefit level changes for Massachusetts Workers Compensation.

UNCERTAINTY

Actuarial projections, by their nature, are estimates of future contingent events that cannot be known with certainty. The ultimate liability of the City for its retained losses will be subject to events that have yet to occur such as the size of future Workers Compensation awards, future economic conditions, and the propensity for workers to file claims for Workers Compensation. No assumptions have been made in this report as to any extraordinary changes in the legal, social, or judicial environment that might affect future losses.

While we believe the results presented in this report are reasonable and reflect the use of accepted actuarial principles and standards of practice, it is possible that actual future loss results of the City will differ, perhaps materially, from those projected herein. In particular, as noted previously, the lack of complete historical loss information and case reserve estimates increases

the degree of uncertainty in our loss estimates. In addition, since excess coverage is not purchased, the City is exposed to unlimited losses, which further increases the uncertainty associated with our projections. Nothing in this report should be construed as a warranty or guarantee as to the adequacy of the liability estimates contained herein.

ASSET QUALITY/INVESTMENT INCOME

No attempt has been made to evaluate the quality of the invested or non invested assets supporting the loss and ALAE liabilities of the City. It has been assumed that the City's loss and ALAE liabilities are supported by creditworthy assets with minimal risk of default and/or capital loss.

In this report we present the pension case losses on a discounted basis. This is a generally accepted approach for purposes of establishing liabilities for claims with fixed and determinable payment levels. Discounted reserves reflect the time value of money and represent the present value as of June 30, 2008 of the expected future loss payments.

For purposes of discounting the pension case loss estimates we used an annual interest rate of 5.0%, as selected by the City. It is important to note that interest/appreciation earned on the assets supporting these liabilities should be credited to the self insurance fund. Alternatively, future years' contributions to the self insurance fund can be increased to offset the interest amortization. Financial Risk Analysts, LLC renders no opinion on the reasonability of the use of a 5.0% rate of return or on the ability of the City to earn at least 5.0% on the invested assets supporting the self insurance liabilities.

DISTRIBUTION AND USE

This report is provided solely for the use of the City of Newton in evaluating its self funded Workers Compensation liabilities as of June 30, 2008. A copy of this report may also be provided to the City's auditors with the proviso that the report is copied in its entirety and that each party receiving a copy of this report agrees to not distribute the report to any other third party.

Distribution of this report to parties other than those referenced above is not authorized without the express written consent of Financial Risk Analysts, LLC.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Indicated Liabilities as Of June 30, 2008

Exhibit 1.1 presents the results of our analysis by type of loss. Based upon our analysis we are recommending the following liability for expected losses as of June 30, 2008:

General Government Workers Compensation Self Insured Liability As of June 30, 2008				
Other Than Pension Cases	\$1,296,969			
Pension Cases	\$4,991,672			
Total Liability	\$6,288,641			

The liability indication provided above is intended to provide for all General Government Workers Compensation losses incurred by the City from inception of its self funded program through June 30, 2008 under the terms and conditions of its statutory obligations.

The above liability provides for what is commonly known as "expected losses." Expected losses represent the best estimate of the City's outstanding losses as of June 30, 2008 and reflect a statistical confidence level of approximately 53%. This means there is a 53% likelihood that actual losses will be less than or equal to expected losses.

Any actuarial estimate of loss reserves is subject to inherent variability. While expected losses represent the best estimate of losses to be incurred, actual losses in any given year can be expected to differ from expected losses. Funding at expected loss levels will entail a degree of risk (47%) that reserve levels will be exceeded. If the City wishes to fund at a level with a higher degree of statistical confidence, i.e. with a lower probability of reserves being inadequate, it may wish to fund at a higher loss level than that indicated by expected losses.

The liabilities indicated above include a provision for the following:

- Losses on claims that have occurred but that are not yet known and not yet reported
 to the City. These claims are part of the reserve provision known as IBNR or
 Incurred But Not Reported.
- Future loss development on known, recorded claims. This is also considered a part of the IBNR reserve.

Indicated Funding For Fiscal Year 2009

As displayed on Exhibit 1.2 we indicate the City fund \$952,619 for expected losses occurring during the period July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009. The indicated funding level is based on estimated payroll as shown on Exhibit 1.2 of approximately \$41.4 million for General Government employees. The fiscal year 2009 funding is intended to provide solely for losses occurring during the period July 1, 2008-2009. It does not include a provision to amortize any surplus or deficit in the fund balance as of June 30, 2008. The City may wish to make an adjustment to the extent a surplus or deficit exists.

As also shown on Exhibit 1.2 we are projecting an overall pure premium of \$2.30 for fiscal year 2009. A pure premium is defined as expected losses per unit of exposure. Losses are defined as previously noted. For Workers Compensation the standard exposure unit is \$100 of payroll. No other costs or expenses associated with the operation of the self funded program are included in our estimates.

ANALYSIS

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This analysis reviews the City of Newton's self funded Workers Compensation program as of June 30, 2008. The City has been self funded for a considerable period of time. The actual inception date is unknown. The data provided to us include claims with date of loss going back to 1956. Our analysis therefore includes loss estimates for accident years 1956 through 2008. We have assumed no liability exists for years prior to 1956.

As mentioned previously, the data provided to us does not include any payments made on claims closed prior to 1988 nor does it include payments made prior to 1988 on all other claims.

ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY

1. Indicated Outstanding Losses

We have used two commonly applied actuarial methodologies to estimate the City's outstanding indemnity losses. For medical only claims, only the paid loss development method has been used. From the results of these approaches final loss selections were made. The following is a detailed description of each of the methodologies used.

Paid Loss Development Method

The paid loss development method is a method in which paid losses are projected to an ultimate level based on historical development patterns. An analysis of the changes in accident year incurred losses between various valuation points provides a basis for estimating future changes. Paid losses are projected to an ultimate level based on historical paid loss development patterns. This method assumes that loss settlement and payment patterns have remained reasonably stable over time.

Paid loss development patterns have been derived from the City's own historical loss experience supplemented with insurance industry experience. Loss development factors are shown on Exhibits 5.1-5.3.

The actual historical loss experience of the City is shown on Exhibits 5.1-5.3. Because medical only claims are paid and closed in a relatively short period of time, we have been able to use the payment history provided to us by the City as the basis for making medical only claims projections.

For claims with indemnity experience displayed on Exhibits 5.1-5.2, we have had to adjust the losses to reflect the missing payments made prior to 1988. The losses have been adjusted using historical experience for the City and the industry. Adjusted losses are presented on Exhibits 5.1 and 5.2 and these are used for purposes of selecting loss development factors.

Bornhuetter-Ferguson Paid Loss Method

This approach is essentially a credibility weighted average of two other reserving methods; the paid loss development method and the expected loss method. The expected loss method simply sets the indicated reserve equal to the difference between expected losses and paid losses. It produces very stable results but fails to incorporate actual results as they emerge.

The Bornhuetter-Ferguson method blends the paid loss development method and the expected loss method by splitting expected losses into two distinct pieces; expected paid losses and expected unpaid losses. As an accident year matures, the expected paid losses are replaced with actual reported losses plus expected unreported losses. Thus, as the year matures, the initial expected incurred loss estimate becomes less important while the actual paid loss experience increases in importance. In order to use this method, one must estimate both the initial expected losses and the expected loss payment pattern.

For fiscal years 2007 and 2008 initial expected indemnity losses are derived on Exhibit 3.1. They are based on results for the more recent years projected to current benefit and trend levels. Years prior to 2007 have been left unchanged from our previous analysis. Expected

loss payment patterns are developed from the paid loss development factors on Exhibits 5.1 and 5.2.

We relied on the results of the Bornhuetter-Ferguson approach. The more recent years will be the most uncertain ones and will exhibit the greatest volatility. Use of the Bornhuetter-Ferguson approach will dampen this volatility and should produce more stable and reliable results. The results of this method appear on Exhibit 2.1.

Note that for both methods adjusted paid losses rather than actual paid losses have been used. Our results therefore produce a valid estimate of ultimate losses for a particular year even though payments made prior to 1988 are missing.

Pension Cases

Pension cases have been analyzed separately on Exhibit 2.3. US Life Tables 2001 Vital Statistics for Males and Females have been used to determine annuity values for these claims using a 5.0% annual rate of interest. Amortizing such claims for mortality and interest is a common practice when establishing liabilities for these types of claims.

It has been assumed for the permanent total and death claims that benefits will be payable for life. For the temporary disability claims it has been assumed that benefits will be paid for the statutory maximum eleven years.

Effective July 1, 1999 the City elected to opt out of the Massachusetts Workers Compensation Trust Fund. As a result the City's liability for pension claims increased significantly. Prior to July 1, 1999, the City's net liability for pension claims was limited to the base benefit for each claim. The base benefit is the benefit established at the time of injury. It is a function of the injured worker's salary at the time of injury.

Benefits for pension claims are subject to annual cost of living adjustments. As a participant in the Trust Fund the City was not responsible for paying cost of living adjustments. By opting out the City is now responsible for paying a benefit equal to the initial base benefit plus the

accumulated cost of living adjustments. In addition, the City will be responsible for paying future cost of living adjustments. We used a 2.8% annual cost of living adjustment in the pension claim calculation. Offsetting this somewhat is the fact that the City will no longer be subject to assessment by the Trust Fund.

2. Fiscal Year 2009 Funding

Exhibit 1.2 displays recommended funding separately for non-pension indemnity and medical claims for the July 1, 2008-2009 period. Funding is determined by multiplying the pure premium in column (1) by the covered payroll in column (2). The City may wish to adjust the indicated funding if actual payroll amounts are different from those displayed on Exhibit 1.2. A provision for pension claims has also been included based on the City's historical experience for these types of claims.

Based on the payroll data provided to us, we are indicating \$952,619 for General Government employees. Overall, our analysis produces indicated funding of \$2.30 per \$100 of payroll for General Government employees. This consists of \$1.85 for non-pension indemnity claims, \$0.19 for medical only claims, and \$0.26 for pension claims.

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 1.1

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDICATED LIABILITY AS OF JUNE 30, 2008 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Indicated Liability As of June 30, 2008		
GENERAL GOVERNMENT		
A. Other Than Pension Claims	\$1,296,969	
B. Pension Claims	\$4,991,672	-
C. Total	\$6,288,641	•
	. , ,	

A. Exhibits 2.1and 2.2

B. Exhibit 2.3

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 1.2

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDICATED FUNDING FISCAL YEAR 2009

	Expected Loss Cost (1)	Exposure (2)	Expected Losses (3)=(1)x(2)
Non-Pension Claims Indemnity Medical Total	\$1.85 <u>\$0.19</u> \$2.04	\$414,492 \$414,492	766,810 <u>78,753</u> 845,563
Pension Claims			107,056
TOTAL	\$2.30		952,619

⁽¹⁾ Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2

⁽²⁾ Exhibit 6

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDEMNITY INDICATED OUTSTANDING LOSSES FISCAL YEARS 1988-2008

Figure		Initial Expected	Initial	Expected	Deid	
Fiscal	5	Pure	Expected	Percent of		Losses
<u>Year</u>	Exposure (a)	<u>Premium</u>	Losses	Losses Paid	Actual	Expected (7)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)=(2)x(3)	(5)	(6)	(7)=(4)x(5)
1988	\$207,265	\$4.90	\$1,015,599	100.0%	\$731,803	\$1,015,599
1989	217,129	5.16	1,120,386	100.0%	550,947	1,120,386
1990	220,084	5.39	1,186,253	100.0%	403,358	1,186,253
1991	222,813	5.00	1,114,065	100.0%	1,043,766	1,114,065
1992	226,774	4.63	1,049,964	100.0%	372,200	1,049,964
1993	233,157	4.74	1,105,164	100.0%	290,080	1,105,164
1994	253,882	4.22	1,071,382	100.0%	356,071	1,071,382
1995	256,823	4.41	1,132,589	100.0%	482,518	1,132,589
1996	270,263	4.04	1,091,863	100.0%	272,140	1,091,863
1997	282,380	2.58	728,540	100.0%	818,448	728,540
1998	287,177	2.73	783,993	100.0%	418,525	783,993
1999	309,695	2.56	792,819	100.0%	272,004	792,819
2000	314,406	1.85	581,651	99.5%	750,076	578,743
2001	322,083	1.89	608,737	98.8%	530,579	601,432
2002	354,569	1.80	638,224	97.8%	563,540	624,183
2003	362,190	1.94	702,649	96.9%	779,966	680,867
2004	362,318	1.85	670,288	95.0%	610,428	636,774
2005	365,553	2.00	731,106	86.4%	870,311	631,676
2006	378,519	2.01	760,823	73.2%	463,452	556,922
2007	405,875	2.02	819,868	62.3%	216,081	510,778
2008	415,644	1.95	810,506	30.0%	363,333	243,152
Total	\$6,268,599		\$18,516,469		\$11,159,626	\$17,257,144

	Expected	Expected	Indicated	Indicated
Fiscal	Percent of	Unpaid	Ultimate	Ultimate
Year	Losses Unpaid	Losses	Losses	Pure Premium
(1)	(8)=1.0-(5)	(9)=(8)x(4)	(10)=(6)+(9)	(11)=(10)/(2)
1988	0.0%	\$0	\$731,803	\$3.53
1989	0.0%	0	550,947	2.54
1990	0.0%	0	403,358	1.83
1991	0.0%	0	1,043,766	4.68
1992	0.0%	0	372,200	1.64
1993	0.0%	0	290,080	1.24
1994	0.0%	0	356,071	1.40
1995	0.0%	0	482,518	1.88
1996	0.0%	0	272,140	1.01
1997	0.0%	0	818,448	2.90
1998	0.0%	0	418,525	1.46
1999	0.0%	0	272,004	0.88
2000	0.5%	2,908	752,984	2.39
2001	1.2%	7,305	537,884	1.67
2002	2.2%	14,041	577,581	1.63
2003	3.1%	21,782	801,748	2.21
2004	5.0%	33,514	643,942	1.78
2005	13.6%	99,430	969,741	2.65
2006	26.8%	203,901	667,353	1.76
2007	37.7%	309,090	525,171	1.29
2008	70.0%	567,354	930,687	2.24
Total		\$1,259,325	\$12,418,951	\$1.98

⁽²⁾ Exhibit 6

⁽³⁾ Exhibit 3.1 for 2007 and 2008. Prior years from 6/30/07 analysis.
(5) Reciprocal of cumulative factors from Exhibits 5.1 and 5.2.

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 2.2

WORKERS COMPENSATION MEDICAL ONLY INDICATED OUTSTANDING LOSSES

Fiscal <u>Year</u> (1)	Paid <u>Losses</u> (2)	Development <u>Factor</u> (3)	Indicated Ultimate Losses (4)=(2)x(3)	Indicated Outstanding Losses (5)=(4)-(2)
1992	\$50,185	1.000	\$50,185	\$0
1993	55,048	1.000	55,048	0
1994	45,547	1.000	45,547	0
1995	30,253	1.000	30,253	0
1996	38,350	1.000	38,350	0
1997	52,993	1.000	52,993	0
1998	22,036	1.000	22,036	0
1999	26,164	1.000	26,164	0
2000	31,244	1.000	31,244	0
2001	52,561	1.000	52,561	0
2002	99,126	1.000	99,126	0
2003	48,174	1.000	48,174	0
2004	96,514	1.000	96,514	0
2005	54,021	1.007	54,399	378
2006	45,677	1.032	47,139	1,462
2007	63,473	1.068	67,789	4,316
2008	50,061	1.629	81,549	31,488
TOTAL	\$861,427		\$899,071	\$37,644

^{(2), (3)} Exhibit 5.3

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 2.3

WORKERS COMPENSATION PENSION CLAIMS

Permanent Total Disabilit	y Claims					
			•	Net		Present
Claimant		Date Of	Nearest	Weekly	Annuity	Value Of
<u>Name</u>	<u>Sex</u>	<u>Birth</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Benefit</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Benefit</u>
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Brennan, Lawrence	М	12/29/51	57	\$747.98	16.678	\$648,690
Forte, Salvatore	M	08/19/32	76	\$871.31	8.214	372,161
Gentile, Joseph	M	08/24/25	83	\$861.20	5.670	253,916
Hayes, Thomas	M	08/27/49	59	\$1,035.72	15.752	848,362
Kelly, Stephen	M	12/07/27	81	\$1,032.49	6.340	340,391
Machain, Eugene	M	12/05/36	72	\$597.31	9.859	306,222
Marchand, Kathleen	F	07/02/21	87	\$693.79	5.342	192,724
McMahon, Robert	M	09/14/44	64	\$1,011.34	13.428	706,174
Piselli, Lawrence	M	10/03/26	82	\$901.23	6.015	281,887
TOTAL						\$3,950,527

Widow Claims]				
				Net		Present
Claimant		Date Of	Nearest	Weekly	Annuity	Value Of
<u>Name</u>	<u>Sex</u>	<u>Birth</u>	<u>Age</u>	Benefit	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Benefit</u>
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Adams, Barbara	F	12/03/38	70	\$730.42	12.547	476,558
Cardarelli, Catherine	F	08/07/48	60	\$626.62	17.327	564,587
TOTAL						\$1,041,145

Tempora	ary Disability Claim	ıs					
				-	Net		Present
	Claimant		Date Of	Nearest	Weekly	Annuity	Value Of
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Sex</u>	<u>Birth</u>	<u>Age</u>	Benefit	Factor	<u>Benefit</u>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
TOTAL							\$0

GRAND TOTAL PENSION CASES	\$4,991,672

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 3.1

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDEMNITY PROJECTED PURE PREMIUMS

	Fiscal <u>Year</u> (1)	Selected Ultimate Losses (2)	Exposure (3)	Developed Pure <u>Premium</u> (4)=(2)/(3)	Benefit Level Adjust. <u>Factor</u> (5)	Trend Factor To <u>1/1/09</u> (6)	On Level Pure <u>Premium</u> (7)
	2002	575,938	354,569	1.62	1.043	0.704	1.19
	2003	804,925	362,190	2.22	1.040	0.740	1.71
	2004	642,781	362,318	1.77	1.040	0.778	1.43
	2005	1,007,820	365,553	2.76	1.033	0.818	2.33
	2006	633,075	378,519	1.67	1.022	0.860	1.47
_	2007	346,810	405,875	0.85	1.011	0.904	0.78
2	2008	1,209,899	415,644	2.91	1.000	0.951	2.77
0 1	4 T A A I						4.07
_	1EAN						1.67
	ATEST 5						1.76
	ATEST 3						1.67
	EST 3						1.74
12. S	ELECTED)					1.85
					(13)	(14)	(15)
				_		ON LEVEL	TRENDED
FOR	ECASTE	PURE PRE	MIUM		SELECTED	FACTOR	ON LEVEL
2	2007				1.85	0.914	2.02
2	2008				1.85	0.951	1.95
2	2009				1.85	1.000	1.85

⁽²⁾ Exhibit 4.1

⁽³⁾ Exhibit 6

⁽⁵⁾ Based on Massachusetts benefit level changes

^{(6) -4.9%} annual trend based on 2008 WCRIB MA filing

^{(7) (4)} x (5) x (6)

^{(14) (5)} x (6)

^{(15) (13)/(14)}

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 3.2

WORKERS COMPENSATION MEDICAL ONLY PROJECTED PURE PREMIUMS

Fiscal <u>Year</u> (1)	Selected Ultimate Losses (2)	Exposure (3)	Developed Pure <u>Premium</u> (4)=(2)/(3)	Benefit Level Adjust. <u>Factor</u> (5)	Trend Factor To 1/1/09 (6)	On Level Pure <u>Premium</u> (7)
2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008	99,126 48,174 96,514 54,399 47,139 67,789 81,549	354,569 362,190 362,318 365,553 378,519 405,875 415,644	0.28 0.13 0.27 0.15 0.12 0.17 0.20	1.014 1.014 1.014 1.002 1.000 1.000	0.951 0.958 0.965 0.972 0.979 0.986 0.993	0.27 0.13 0.26 0.15 0.12 0.17 0.20
8. MEAN 9. LATEST 5 10. LATEST 3 11. BEST 3 12. SELECTED						0.19 0.18 0.16 0.17 0.19
FORECASTED 2009	PURE PREM	ИIUM	<u> </u>	(13) 2009 SELECTED 0.19	(14) ON LEVEL FACTOR 1.000	(15) TRENDED ON LEVEL 0.19

⁽²⁾ Exhibit 4.2

⁽³⁾ Exhibit 6

⁽⁵⁾ Based on Massachusetts benefit level changes

^{(6) -0.7%} annual trend based on 2008 WCRIB MA filing

^{(7) (4)} x (5) x (6)

^{(14) (5)} x (6)

^{(15) (13)/(14)}

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDEMNITY PROJECTED ULTIMATE LOSSES

Fiscal <u>Year</u> (1)	Paid <u>Losses</u> (2)	Paid <u>LDF</u> (3)	Projected Ultimate Losses (4)=(2)x(3)
1998	418,525	1.000	418,525
1999	272,004	1.000	272,004
2000	750,076	1.005	753,826
2001	530,579	1.012	536,946
2002	563,540	1.022	575,938
2003	779,966	1.032	804,925
2004	610,428	1.053	642,781
2005	870,311	1.158	1,007,820
2006	463,452	1.366	633,075
2007	216,081	1.605	346,810
2008	363,333	3.330	1,209,899
TOTAL	5,838,295		7,202,549

^{(2),(3)} Exhibits 5.1 and 5.2

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 4.2

WORKERS COMPENSATION MEDICAL ONLY PROJECTED ULTIMATE LOSSES

Fiscal <u>Year</u> (1)	Paid <u>Losses</u> (2)	Paid <u>LDF</u> (3)	Projected Ultimate Losses (4)=(2)x(3)
1998	22,036	1.000	22,036
1999	26,164	1.000	26,164
2000	31,244	1.000	31,244
2001	52,561	1.000	52,561
2002	99,126	1.000	99,126
2003	48,174	1.000	48,174
2004	96,514	1.000	96,514
2005	54,021	1.007	54,399
2006	45,677	1.032	47,139
2007	63,473	1.068	67,789
2008	50,061	1.629	81,549
TOTAL	589,051		626,695

^{(2),(3)} Exhibit 5.3

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 5.1

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDEMNITY LOSS DEVELOPMENT FACTORS

Fiscal					d As Of (Mor	nths)			
<u>Year</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>108</u>
1981									13,014
1982								50,271	79,896
							05.074	,	
1983						404.024	85,974	151,711	190,854
1984					47.770	104,034	131,996	136,739	141,55
1985					47,779	73,645	97,884	112,151	145,836
1986				162,488	213,185	253,463	291,687	355,426	372,283
1987			133,598	187,011	244,851	257,161	257,537	293,215	294,936
1988		309,658	422,764	500,503	574,645	703,346	731,742	731,742	731,74
1989	125,123	302,209	400,338	495,906	533,152	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,31
1990	61,057	136,259	240,897	345,480	396,190	399,793	401,285	401,609	402,38
1991	251,479	533,452	803,595	949,121	983,668	1,004,961	1,024,987	1,034,376	1,043,76
1992	166,946	290,170	332,670	361,869	372,115	372,115	372,198	372,198	372,20
1993	168,348	257,203	286,600	290,080	290,080	290,080	290,080	290,080	290,08
1994	254,543	296,798	312,434	325,287	338,376	351,173	356,071	356,071	356,07
1995	252,219	361,516	405,680	430,313	450,416	475,482	480,425	482,220	482,40
1996	140,989	214,821	238,055	254,226	254,226	254,226	267,113	267,942	270,61
1997	201,014	508,180	623,376	672,936	762,810	784,804	792,020	802,934	803,01
1998	225,712	411,974	413,936	413,936	413,936	418,525	418,525	418,525	418,52
1999	128,424	219,499	269,989	271,972	272,004	272,004	272,004	272,004	272,00
2000	182,578	383,681	497,680	571,098	645,723	672,162	684,176	727,388	750,07
2001	242,701	439,427	504,239	517,760	529,022	530,492	530,579	530,579	
2002	211,650	381,092	463,724	555,910	555,910	563,540	563,540		
2003	184,764	400,748	477,403	622,815	747,597	779,966			
2004	326,634	446,143	482,874	559,910	610,428				
2005	224,297	642,049	817,435	870,311	, -				
2006	227,475	429,371	463,452	0.0,0					
2007	157,547	216,081	100, 102						
2008	363,333	210,001							
2006	303,333								
Fiscal				Deve	lopment Fac	tors			
Year	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	72-84	84-96	96-108	108-120
1981									1.577
1982								1.589	1.327
							4 705		
1983						4 000	1.765	1.258	1.221
1984						1.269	1.036	1.035	1.243
1985					1.541	1.329	1.146	1.300	1.034
1986				1.312	1.189	1.151	1.219	1.047	1.000
1987			1.400	1.309	1.050	1.001	1.139	1.006	1.006
1988		1.365	1.184	1.148	1.224	1.040	1.000	1.000	1.000
1989	2.415	1.325	1.239	1.075	1.019	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1990	2.232	1.768	1.434	1.147	1.009	1.004	1.001	1.002	1.000
1991	2.121	1.506	1.181	1.036	1.022	1.020	1.009	1.009	1.000
1992	1.738	1.146	1.088	1.028	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1993	1.528	1.114	1.012	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
				1.040					
1994	1.166	1.053	1.041		1.038	1.014	1.000	1.000	1.000
1995	1.433	1.122	1.061	1.047	1.056	1.010	1.004	1.000	1.000
1996	1.524	1.108	1.068	1.000	1.000	1.051	1.003	1.010	1.006
1997	2.528	1.227	1.080	1.134	1.029	1.009	1.014	1.000	1.019
1998	1.825	1.005	1.000	1.000	1.011	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1999	1.709	1.230	1.007	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
2000	2.101	1.297	1.148	1.131	1.041	1.018	1.063	1.031	
2001	1.811	1.147	1.027	1.022	1.003	1.000	1.000		
2002	1.801	1.217	1.199	1.000	1.014	1.000			
2002	2.169	1.191	1.305	1.200	1.043	1.000			
					1.043				
2004	1.366	1.082	1.160	1.090					
2005	2.862	1.273	1.065						
2006	1.888	1.079							
	1.372								
2007			1.142	1.091	1.068	1.048	1.074	1.068	0.992
	1.873	1.224							
ean				1.097	1.020	1 006	1 (121	1 010	0 673
ean Yr. Mean	2.041	1.145	1.176	1.097	1.020	1.006	1.021	1.010	0.673
ean Yr. Mean Yr. Mean	2.041 1.931	1.145 1.169	1.176 1.151	1.089	1.020	1.004	1.015	1.008	0.805
ean Yr. Mean	2.041	1.145	1.176						
ean Yr. Mean Yr. Mean est 3	2.041 1.931 1.809	1.145 1.169 1.163	1.176 1.151 1.141	1.089 1.081	1.020 1.019	1.004 1.000	1.015 1.005	1.008 1.003	0.805 1.002
ean Yr. Mean Yr. Mean est 3	2.041 1.931 1.809 2.075	1.145 1.169 1.163 1.175	1.176 1.151 1.141 1.180	1.089 1.081 1.100	1.020 1.019 1.020	1.004 1.000 1.010	1.015 1.005 1.010	1.008 1.003 1.007	0.805 1.002 1.005
ean Yr. Mean Yr. Mean est 3	2.041 1.931 1.809	1.145 1.169 1.163	1.176 1.151 1.141	1.089 1.081	1.020 1.019	1.004 1.000	1.015 1.005	1.008 1.003	0.805 1.002

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 5.2

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDEMNITY LOSS DEVELOPMENT FACTORS

Figor	Adjusted Cumulative Paid Losses & ALAE Valued As Of (Months)												
Fiscal <u>Year</u>	120	132	144	<u>156</u>	168	180	192	204	216	228	240	252	264
<u>1 eai</u>	120	132	144	150	100	100	132	204	210	220	240	<u> 252</u>	204
1978			21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21.834	21.834	21,834	21,834
1979		48,767	73,098	110,017	132,877	144,057	145,357	145,357	145,357			145,357	
1980	35,296	54,135	73,763	94,286	110,435	110,435	110,435	110,435	110,435	,		110,435	
1981	20,529	27,144	33,759	40,405	42,640	44,884	47,119	49,354	51,589			58,294	
1982	106,029	122,456	140,818	159,999	160,887	160,887	160,887	160,887	160,887			160,887	
1983	233,090	249,208	260,364	269,481	278,497	287,513	298,111	302,775	307,239			320,631	
1984	175,886	181,486	185,599	186,549	186,549	186,549	186,549	186,549	186,549	,		186,549	
1985	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	,		150,852	
1986	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283			372,283	
1987	296,657	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	-	-	297,654	-
1988	731,742	731,742	731,742	731,742	731,742	731,742	731,803	731,803	731,803	-	731,803	-	,
1989	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317		550,947	,	
1990	402,383	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358			
1991	1,043,766			1,043,766			1,043,766			,			
1992	372,200	372,200	372,200	372,200	372,200	372,200	372,200	372,200	.,,				
1993	290,080	290,080	290,080	290,080	290,080	290,080	290,080	,					
1994	356,071	356,071	356,071	356,071	356,071	356,071	200,000						
1995	482,406	482,406	482,406	482,462	482,518	000,01							
1996	272,140	272,140	272,140	272,140	.02,0.0								
1997	818,251	818,311	818,448	2,2,110									
1998	418,525	418,525	010,110										
1999	272,004	110,020											
.000	,00.												
Fiscal							Devel	opment Fac	ctors				
<u>Year</u>	120-132	132-144	144-156	156-168	168-180	180-192	192-204	204-216	216-228	228-240	240-252	252-264	264-Ult.
1978			1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1979		1.499	1.505	1.208	1.084	1.009	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1980	1.534	1.363	1.278	1.171	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1981	1.322	1.244	1.197	1.055	1.053	1.050	1.047	1.045	1.043	1.042	1.040	1.038	1.028
1982	1.155	1.150	1.136	1.006	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1983	1.069	1.045	1.035	1.033	1.032	1.037	1.016	1.015	1.015	1.014	1.014	1.015	1.000
1984	1.032	1.023	1.005	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1985	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1986	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1987	1.003	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1988	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		
1989	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.014	1.000			
1990	1.002	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000				
1991	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					
1992	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000						
1993	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000							
1994	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000								
1995	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000									
1996	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000									
1997	1.000	1.000											
1998	1.000												
.000													
Mean	1.059	1.070	1.061	1.026	1.010	1.006	1.004	1.004	1.006	1.005	1.005	1.005	1.003
3 Yr. Mean	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.005	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
5 Yr. Mean	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.003	1.000	1.000	1.003	1.000
Best 3	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Selected	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Cumulative	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
				-	-								

WORKERS COMPENSATION MEDICAL ONLY HISTORICAL LOSS DATA

Figoal	Cumulative Paid Losses & ALAE Valued As Of (Months)										
Fiscal <u>Year</u>	12	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>48</u>	60 60	72	84	<u>96</u>	108	<u>120</u>	132
1989	16,707	23,305	23,305	23,305	23,305	23,905	23,905	23,905	23,905	23,905	23,905
1990	23,114	29,040	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646
1991	2,960	3,346	12,650	41,187	41,187	41,187	41,187	41,187	41,187	41,187	41,187
1992	33	17,928	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185
1993	10,419	54,025	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048
1994	22,341	45,034	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547
1995	22,975	30,235	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253
1996	23,877	37,585	37,804	38,350	38,350	38,350	38,350	38,350	38,350	38,350	38,350
1997	25,033	36,553	52,993	52,993	52,993	52,993	52,993	52,993	52,993	52,993	52,993
1998	16,124	21,256	22,036	22,036	22,036	22,036	22,036	22,036	22,036	22,036	22,036
1999	21,591	25,809	26,098	26,098	26,164	26,164	26,164	26,164	26,164	26,164	
2000	20,281	30,826	30,920	31,244	31,244	31,244	31,244	31,244	31,244		
2001	30,700	48,571	48,797	52,561	52,561	52,561	52,561	52,561			
2002	39,090	98,179	98,179	98,645	98,705	98,705	99,126				
2003	40,915	47,993	48,174	48,174	48,174	48,174					
2004	50,401	83,416	85,212	93,480	96,514						
2005	35,535	50,777	54,021	54,021							
2006	29,701	45,677	45,677								
2007	50,719	63,473									
2008	50,061										
					_						
Fiscal		04.00	00.40	10.00		lopment Fac		00.400	100 100	100 100	400 1111
<u>Year</u>	<u>12-24</u>	<u>24-36</u>	<u>36-48</u>	<u>48-60</u>	60-72	<u>72-84</u>	<u>84-96</u>	<u>96-108</u>	<u>108-120</u>	<u>120-132</u>	<u>132-Ult.</u>
1989	1.395	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.026	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1990	1.256	1.021	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1991	1.130	3.781	3.256	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1992	543.273	2.799	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1993	5.185	1.019	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1994	2.016	1.011	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1995	1.316	1.001	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1996	1.574	1.006	1.014	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1997	1.460	1.450	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1998	1.318	1.037	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1999	1.195	1.011	1.000	1.003	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		
2000	1.520	1.003	1.010	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
2001	1.582	1.005	1.077	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000				
2002	2.512	1.000	1.005	1.001	1.000	1.004					
2003	1.173	1.004	1.000	1.000	1.000						
2004	1.655	1.022	1.097	1.032							
2005	1 /20	1 06/	1 000								

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 6

WORKERS COMPENSATION EXPOSURE INFORMATION

Fiscal Year Ending <u>June 30,</u> (1)	Covered Payroll (\$00) (2)	
1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	226,774 233,157 253,882 256,823 270,263 282,380 287,177 309,695 314,406 322,083 354,569 362,190 362,318 365,553 378,519 405,875 415,644 414,492	